Countywide Transportation Plan **2008**

ALAMEDA COUNTY CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AGENCY

June 2008
Revised June 2009

Alameda County Congestion Management Agency

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

County of Alameda
Nate Miley, Supervisor
Scott Haggerty, Supervisor

City of Alameda
Vice Chairperson
Beverley Johnson, Mayor

City of Albany
Farid Javandel, Councilmember

City of Berkeley
Kris Worthington, Councilmember

City of Dublin
Tim Sbranti, Mayor

City of Emeryville
Ruth Atkin, Councilmember

City of Fremont
Robert Wieckowski, Councilmember

City of Hayward
Olden Henson, Councilmember

City of Livermore
Marshall Kamena, Mayor

City of Newark
Luis Freitas, Councilmember

City of Oakland
Larry Reid, Councilmember

City of Piedmont
John Chiang, Councilmember

City of Pleasanton
Jennifer Hosterman, Mayor

City of San Leandro
Joyce R. Starosciak, Councilmember

City of Union City
Chairperson
Mark Green, Mayor

AC Transit
Greg Harper, Director

BART
Thomas Blalock, Director

Executive Director
Dennis R. Fay

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

A Snapshot of Alameda County 1

The Countywide Transportation System 1

Congestion in the Bay Area and Alameda County 4

Managing Congestion in Alameda County 5

The CMA's Role 6

The Plan 7

1—A VISION OF THE FUTURE

Background 9

A Vision of Future Transportation Needs 10

Goals and Performance Objectives 11

Planning Agenda 15

Available Resources versus Present and Future Needs 16

Reconciling Limited Resources and Critical Needs 17

2—EXISTING CONDITIONS

Existing Conditions 19

Travel Patterns 20

Performance of the System 22

Performance Summary 29

3—A DIVERSIFIED STRATEGY

Lessons Learned 33

Elements of the Diversified Strategy 34

4—MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Funding Policies 37
Planning Guidelines 39
Pricing Policies and Other Incentives 45
Summary of Management Strategies 46

5—REVENUE

Why Funding is Limited 49
A New Approach to Appropriating Funds 49
Available Funding Sources 50
How Much Does the CMA Expect? 52
Revenue Issues 54
Summary of Revenue Policies 57

6—CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Investment Policies 59
Funding Challenges 59
Capital Investment Program 60
Flexibility in the Use of Fund Sources 89
Investment Program and Planning Goals 90
Implementation Issues 96
Summary of Investment Program 96

7—MONITORING AND EVALUATION

Background 97
Performance Measures 97

8—IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

Short-Term 101

Long-Term 101

Ongoing 101

APPENDICES

A—Glossary of Acronyms A-1

B—Metropolitan Transportation System B-1

C—Major Transit Operations C-1

D—Transit Operations Key Performance Measures D-1

E—State Freeway Accident Rates E-1

F—Reported Crimes at BART Stations F-1

G—Reported Crimes on AC Transit System G-1

H—Local Street and Road rehabilitation and Transit Capital Replacement H-1

I—Managing Congestion and Reducing CO2 and Pollutant Emissions I-1

J—MTC Resolution No. 3765 ..... J-1

TABLES

1.1—Goals, Requirements and Key Priorities 15

2.1—Summary of Applied Performance Measures 30

5.1—Committed Funds in *Transportation 2035* (pending) 53

5.2—Future Revenue Sources and Potential Issues 55

6.1—Committed Projects 61

6.2—High Priority Projects 68

6.3—Tier 1 and Tier 2 Proposed 25-Year STIP by Category 69

6.4— Tier 1 and Tier 2 Proposed 25-Year STIP by Planning Area 76

6.5—Tier 3 Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Candidates 85

6.6—Tier 3 State Bond Program Candidates 86

6.7—Tier 3 Projects and Programs for HOT Lane Revenues 87

6.8—Investments by Category 90

6.9—Investment Program by Planning Goals 91

7.1—Performance Measures 98

FIGURES

I.1—Metropolitan Transportation System Streets and Highway System 2

I.2—Metropolitan Transportation System Transit Corridors 3

2.1—Top 10 Congested Locations in Alameda County (2006) 26