

ALAMEDA COUNTY

2005 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Adopted by the

Alameda County Congestion Management Agency

November 17, 2005

Congestion Management Program **2005**

ALAMEDA COUNTY CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AGENCY

November 2005

Alameda County Congestion Management Agency
BOARD OF DIRECTORS

County of Alameda
Vice Chairperson
Scott Haggerty, Supervisor
Nate Miley, Supervisor

City of Alameda
Beverly Johnson, Mayor

City of Albany
Allan Maris, Councilmember

City of Berkeley
Kriss Worthington, Councilmember

City of Dublin
Janet Lockhart, Mayor

City of Emeryville
Nora Davis, Councilmember

City of Fremont
Robert Wasserman, Mayor

City of Hayward
Roberta Cooper, Mayor

City of Livermore
Marshall Kamena, Mayor

City of Newark
Paul H. B. Tong, Councilmember

City of Oakland
Chairperson
Larry Reid, Councilmember

City of Piedmont
Jeff Wieler, Councilmember

City of Pleasanton
Jennifer Hosterman, Mayor

City of San Leandro
Shelia Young, Mayor

City of Union City
Mark Green, Mayor

AC Transit
Dolores Jaquez, Director

BART
Thomas Blalock, Director

Executive Director
Dennis R. Fay

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY vii

1 — CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Composition of the CMA 1

Voting 2

Functions and Responsibilities 2

2 — DESIGNATED ROADWAY SYSTEM

Relationship to *Regional Transportation Plan* 5

Designated CMP System 6

The CMP System 7

3 — LEVEL-OF-SERVICE STANDARDS

Level-of-Service Standards 21

Traffic Monitoring Program 24

Comparison with Previous Results 28

Compliance and Conformance 29

Local Government Responsibilities 29

4 — PERFORMANCE ELEMENT

Relationship to Alameda *Countywide Transportation Plan* 35

Performance Measures 37

Transit Service Performance Measures 42

Local Government and Transit Agency Responsibilities 44

Compliance and Conformance 45

5 — TRAVEL-DEMAND MANAGEMENT ELEMENT

Continuing Development 47
Alternative Transportation Methods 48
Making Travel-Demand Management Integral to Congestion Management 48
A Balanced Travel-Demand Management Program 49
Alameda County Travel-Demand Management Program 50
Funding of Trip-Reduction Programs 54
Parking Cash-Out Demonstration Programs 55
Congestion Pricing Strategies 58
Compliance/Conformance 58
Local Government Responsibilities 60

6 — LAND-USE ANALYSIS PROGRAM

What's Included in the Land-Use Analysis 61
Land Development Projects Subject to Review 62
Development Review Process 64
Responsibility for Modeling 67
Areawide Traffic Impact Mitigation Fees 67
Jobs/Housing Balance 68
Transit-Oriented Development in Alameda County 69
Regional Agencies Smart Growth Strategy 69
Relationship to California Environmental Quality Act 71
Relationship to Transit 72
Compliance and Conformance 74
Local Government Responsibilities 75

7 — CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Relationship to the Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 77
Relationship to the *Regional Transportation Plan* 77
Investing Surface Transportation Program /Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Funds 77
Programming Structure for Surface Transportation Program and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Funds 79
Senate Bill 45 and Project Delivery 80
A Diversified Strategy 83
Components of the Capital Improvement Program 84
Funding of the Capital Improvement Program 85

Capital Improvement Program 85
Updating the Capital Improvement Program 86

8 — CONFORMANCE, MONITORING AND DEFICIENCY PLANS

Conformance 97
Monitoring 98
Deficiency Plans 100
Consistency with Regional Transportation Plan 106

9 — DATABASE AND TRAVEL MODEL

Database Development 109
Model Development 109
Planning Areas 110
Traffic Analysis Zone System 111
Model Results 111
2004/05 Model Update 112

10 — CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

Conclusions 113
Implementation Issues 116

TABLES

1 —Alameda County Congestion Management Agency Voting Structure 4
2 — Schedule for CMP-Designated System 8
3 — CMP-Designated System, Route List 8
4 — Approach to Levels of Service 23
5 — Relationship between Average Travel Speed and Level of Service 26
6 — LOS "F" Freeway Segments for CMP-Designated Roadway System 30
7 — LOS "F" Arterial Segments for CMP-Designated Roadway System 32
8 — Level-of-Service Trends on the CMP-Designated System (P.M. Peak Period) 33
9 — Performance Measures 38
10 — Performance Measures for Frequency of Transit Service 43
11 — Alameda County Travel-Demand Management Program 51
12 — Financial Incentive Participant Summary 59
13 — Financial Incentive Program Savings and Costs 59

14 — Land-Use Analysis Program Tier I Requirements 66
15 — Projects Recommended for Funding in the 2006 State Transportation Improvement Program 88
16 — 2005 Capital Improvement Program 90
17 —Conformance and Monitoring 99
18 — Roadway Segments Year of Deficiency Plan 101
19 — Summary of MTC's Regional Consistency Requirements for CMPs 114
20 — Implementation Schedule 119

FIGURES

1 — Designated Countywide System Map 14
2 — Designated System Map for Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Emeryville, Oakland and Piedmont 15
3 — Designated System Map for Castro Valley, Hayward, San Leandro and San Lorenzo 16
4 — Designated System Map for Fremont, Newark and Union City 17
5 — Designated System Map for Dublin, Livermore and Pleasanton 18
6 —Metropolitan Transportation System, Transit Corridors of Alameda County 19
7 — Metropolitan Transportation System, Transit Corridors of Northern Alameda County Detail 20
8 — Level-of Service "F" Roadway Segments 34
9 — How Performance Measures are used in the CMP 36
10 — Travel-Demand Management Strategy for Congestion Management 53
11 — Review Process for Assessing the Impacts of Local Land Development Decisions on the Transportation System 65
12 — CMA Process for Selecting Projects for State and Federal Funding 87
13 — Multi-jurisdictional Deficiency Plan Appeal Process 104